ED 306 618   CS 506 635

AUTHOR   Jeffres, Leo W.; And Others
TITLE   "Development Communication": Applying the Concept in
                the American Context.
PUB DATE   28 May 89
NOTE   31p.; Paper presented at the Annual Meeting of the
                International Communication Association (39th, San
                Francisco, CA, May 25-29, 1989).
PUB TYPE   Speeches/Conference Papers (150) -- Reports -
                Research/Technical (143) -- Information Analyses
                (070)

EDRS PRICE   MF01/PC02 Plus Postage.
DESCRIPTORS   Communication Research; *Developed Nations;
                Information Sources; *Mass Media; Models;
                *Productivity; Surveys; Theory Practice
                Relationship
IDENTIFIERS   Critical Analysis; *Development Communication

ABSTRACT
                This paper explored the conceptual issues involving
the application of "development communication" to the American
context, examined people's needs for development information, the
communication system that delivers such information, and the barriers
that exist. The paper notes that people's needs for development
information may occur in the general, the personal, and the job
context. First, several newspapers were examined to construct an
inv ntory of content which could fulfill development functions. The
paper then proceeded with a survey which examined public perceptions
of what would make individuals more productive and where they would
go for help and information to achieve this. Subjects, 344 adults in
a large midwestern city, were interviewed by telephone. People's
perceptions of what would increase their own productivity were
divided into several categories: (1) personal control; (2) external
help with individual initiative; and (3) external control in the
workplace. The paper concluded that results also indicated that
resources people would use included other institutions, the
workplace, media, other people, professional help, and personal
discipline. Eighty-eight references and two tables of data are
attached. (RS)

"DEVELOPMENT COMMUNICATION": APPLYING THE CONCEPT IN THE AMERICAN CONTEXT

By
Leo W. Jeffres, Gary Pettey,
Jean Dobos and Jae-won Lee
Department of Communication
Cleveland State University
Cleveland, Ohio 44115
(Phone: 216-687-4630)

Paper presented in the Mass Communication Division
at the annual conference of the
International Communication Association
San Francisco, Calif.

May 28, 1989

ABSTRACT

"DEVELOPMENT COMMUNICATION":
APPLYING THE CONCEPT IN THE AMERICAN CONTEXT

        The concept of "development communication" has been applied in the
Third World for more than a decade but not in the American context.
In this paper we explored conceptual issues, then examined people's
needs for development information, the communication system that
delivers such information and barriers that exist. We noted that
people's needs for development information may occur in the general,
the personal, and the job context. Several newspapers were examined
to construct an inventory of content which could fulfill development
functions. This critical analysis was followed by the results of a
survey tapping public perceptions of productivity. Conducted in a
midwestern metropolitan area, the survey tapped people's perceptions
of what would make them more productive and where they would go for
help and information to achieve this.

Introduction:

The concept of "development communication" has been applied in the
Third World for more than a decade, often by American or American-
trained researchers. However, we've seen no major efforts to apply
the concept in the United States, perhaps out of a presumption that
"development" was something which was needed only in "developing
countries." This may change as scholars recognize its potential as an
explanatory variable in the current context.

In economics, a similar evolution occurred with scholarly interest
in entrepreneurship, a concept key to the development literature. In
the 1920's and 1930's there was great interest in the functions of
entrepreneurship for economic growth; after World War II, studies
centered on the social, psychological and cultural characteristics of
entrepreneurs. By the late 1950's research shifted from the United
States to underdeveloped nations (Cochran, 1964; Glade, 1967;
Greenfield and Strickon, 1986: 8-9). Then, in the 1970's American
interest in "productivity" grew again as supply-side economics became
more popular and attention shifted from demand management to
production and supply factors (Backman, 1983: 13-14). International
competition also grew, and the popular media focused increasing
attention on technological innovators and entrepreneurs as answers to
foreign competitors.

The notion of "development communication" generally has implied
government involvement, an ideological position not acceptable to many
Americans. However, several strains of communication research are
directly applicable to development in the United States, and a
consensus seems to be emerging that Americans need to increase their
productivity to remain competitive in a world economy (Backman, 1983).
While the means for achieving this competitiveness may be largely
"economic," communication researchers could make significant
contributions but thus far, their work has been limited to focusing on
communication patterns in the workplace. The concept of "development
communication" seems tailor-made for application in this area, but it
calls for a critical examination of the relationship between the
"communication system" and development in the economic sphere.

Here we will examine the concept of "development communication" in
an American context. First we will explore conceptual issues, then
examine people's needs for development information, the communication
system that delivers such information and barriers that exist. Then
several newspapers will be examined to construct an inventory of
content which could fulfill development functions. This will be
followed by the results of a survey tapping public perceptions of
productivity.

Defining the Concept & Sorting Out the Theories:

Development has been defined by economists as the creation of new
enterprises t: t disturb the current state of the economy and produce
improved states. These enterprises represent combinations of
materials and forces that are spontaneous and are the major means for

transforming the economy (Greenfield et al., 1979: 6). The persons who carry out these functions are called entrepreneurs, decision makers and innovators whom Schumpeter (1949: 64-75) saw as the key to economic growth. While granting the economic dimension to development, other social sciences have broadened the concept to include relationships with political factors, social phenomena and communication variables.

The concept of development has been linked to mass media more often than to communication processes in general (which may encompass interpersonal, organizational, and mass communication). For example, Hachten (1981) looks at media philosophies as clashing ideologies that represent different perceptions about the nature and role of news because of historical traditions and divergent political philosophies. One of the five major concepts he uses to describe current trends is the "development concept," where the mass media are seen as important instruments for nation-building. However, there certainly are different views within this perspective, from those who look at mass media as more independent institutions in a pluralistic framework to those who hold that all instruments of mass communicaion should be mobilized by the central government to aid in major tasks of nation building--fighting illiteracy and poverty, building a political consciousness and assisting in economic development.

Most of the Third World is concerned with national development, a concept which generally has emphasized economic growth but is now undergoing changes. At times change at the individual level has been called "modernization" while change at the national level has been termed "development" (see Bendiz, 1966; Schramm and Lerner, 1976; Rogers, 1983; and Schramm, 1964 for a discussion of the distinction historically). Theories of national development range from ideological applications (e.g., Marxist, pluralist, and variations on these themes) to those emphasizing economic, psychological, political or communication models.

Descriptions of specific development theories and empirical evidence are available in many places: economic theories (Todaro, 1981; Rostow, 1960, 1978), psychological theories (Freeman, 1976; Tekiner, 1980; Hagen, 1962a, b); political theories (Frey, 1973; Pye, 1966; Deutsch and Merritt, 1970), and communication theories. The latter include Lerner's classic theory about the passing of traditional society (Lerner, 1958, 1977; Frey, 1973; Winham, 1970) and the voluminous literature on the diffusion of innovations (Rogers, 1983). In the 1960's Schramm (1964) and others argued for using the mass media to supplement expensive educational programs requiring face-to-face instruction. Hornik (1988) notes that agriculture was a prime target for these communication programs. However, communication programs for development in Third World countries did not produce as promised, perhaps because of unrealistic expectations, some argued. In the 1970's, critics argued that problems were more resource based and communication programs too centralized (Hornik, 1988). They argued for more participation and involvement by those in the target populations (Rogers, 1976), as well as a recognition that communication programs could only complement political and economic

programs focusing on resource allocations (Hornik, 1988). Development
communication as generally practiced has been almost exclusively
"interventionist" in its ideology, presuming that government programs
in one form or another are crucial for reaching developmental goals.

Theories contributed by other social sciences also have attracted
criticism. Greenfield and Strickon (1986: 10-11) argue that
psychological studies such as McClelland's and Hagen's have not
contributed significantly to contemporary efforts to comprehend the
phenomenon of entrepreneurship; they point to the emergence in the mid
1960's of scholars experimenting with a view of the entrepreneur as a
creative decision-maker, where the distinctive aspects were the
settings, circumstances or situations within which the decisions and
choices were made (Glade, 1967). The difference in part represents
disciplinary squabbles over the importance of "the individual" or the
"the environment," the latter configured in sociological terms.

In the 1960's and 1970's, economic models of development were
influenced by economists using the model of an international economic
system (Fejes, 1980). These analyses focused attention at the
national or international level, with an emphasis on relations defined
in terms of dependency/self-sufficiency or equality/balance. Though
dependency theory also has appeared in the communication literature
(DeFleur and Ball-Rokeach, 1982); it has referred to communication and
economic systems or behaviors. Earlier economic and discipline-
related models used by communication scholars lost their popularity in
the mid-1970's, replaced in large part by critical/ideological
debates. And, as often happens, just when dependency theory becomes
fashionable, it too is challenged by empirical evidence (see Dizard,
1985; Parker, 1978) and a reconsideration of more middle-range
theories. Within business and economics, research in the various
streams has continued and grown with changes in the economic and
political environment.

Defining Development at the Individual Level--Development at the
individual level often has been equated with ideas of modernism.
However, "modernism" has ideological baggage unacceptable to many, who
note a western bias and tendency to place it at the end of a continuum
opposite to traditionalism. But there is no need to conceptually link
change on economic dimensions with changes in other behaviors. Such
links should be empirical questions. A concept that is central to
notions of development is "productivity," which economists see as
crucial for national economic health (Backman, 1983; Kendrick, 1983).
Productivity in turn refers to the level of individual achievement, or
the extent to which one's behaviors produce results (goods and
services in economic terms) that are valued by others in public and
private marketplaces. What is valued or acceptable for individual
productivity may vary by generation, culture, society, or
ideology/philosophy. And not all achievements need be placed on a
material-reward scale that compares individuals for the concept to
have utility. Productivity may refer to individual growth from a
current position to maximum realization (Maslow, 1970). The
comparable definition of productivity at the national level could
entail a summary of productivity at lower levels, though generally

measured in terms that ignore the individual and aggregate individual and group behaviors; other concepts (rates of productivity by sub populations) also are relevant at the national level.

Defining "Development Communication"--Accepting "individual productivity" as the focus for development, we are faced with its link to the other term in our focal concept, "communication." The connecting link is expressed as the preposition "for," and the issue becomes one of determining how the encoding-and-decoding of messages ("communication") affects or is linked to increased personal productivity. This is a shift from the communication literature which focuses on people as "consumers" (of media products) to a focus on people as "producers." How does communication (interpersonal networks and mass media use) help people as producers rather than as consumers? Though our focus in the discussion is at the individual level, we can move up levels to look at relationships between patterns of communication and productivity achieved by groups or a nation. This conceptualization is not far from the definition of a scholar who has worked with this concept in a developing country, the Philippines. Quebral (1975: 2) defines development communication as "the art and science of human communication applied to the speedy transformation of a country and the mass of its people from poverty to a dynamic state of economic growth that makes possible greater social equality and the larger fulfillment of the human potential." She notes that the "systematic use" of communication techniques and strategies to "persuade specified groups of people to change their habits, life style or ways of thought is by no means new" but the "purpose it serves and the venue of its action" are (Quebral, 1975: 2). Quebral sees development communication as a Third World phenomenon, but we argue that the same questions can be applied to the industrial environment, which also needs increased productivity, albeit from a higher level in many cases.

Application in a Developed Country

Jamias (1975: 16) notes that development communication in the Third World context is viewed as purposive, which distinguishes it from the thrust of communication studies in the developed world. However, we can retain the concept as delineated earlier and still pose the question of how existing communication patterns are related to issues of productivity and development. In a recent summary of development communication in the Third World, Hornik (1988) offers three broad explanations for why communication programs and projects have been disappointing: 1) information is no solution for a lack of resources; 2) audiences for information programs are not responsive even when such information might help, and 3) information programs have not been done correctly--the channels available are extremely weak and information flows are not adequate (Hornik, 1988: 157-159). We would argue that development communication scholars have focused too much on traditional government programs and not looked carefully at existing patterns linking communication to development phenomena. There is no need to insist on a pro-active program of intervention for scholars to raise questions about how people's communication patterns

are related to their productivity or how the communication system is related to productivity at higher levels. If diffusion research is an example of "development communication" research, then scholars in developed contexts have raised such questions for several decades.

However, though we "need not" raise questions about values, we propose to do precisely that in our subsequent analysis. Clearly, not everyone in developed nations achieves the same level of benefits from his or her efforts, and the answers are not all "economic." Urban centers contain concentrations of poor people, and rural areas include growing numbers of lower-income families. Issues of productivity in both cases represent issues of survival for neighborhoods and towns, for life styles and family and ethnic heritage.

Certainly, a better understanding of how communication is related to productivity could lead to changes by institutions or individuals, including the mass media. The nature of intervention is likely to be linked to ideology or philosophy, though not necessarily. Indeed, one might argue, as economists do (Nafziger, 1986: 24-27), that central planners in socialist systems and a host of leaders in pluralist market economies (political, business, etc.) are both interested in increasing people's productivity through programs that reflect national and cultural values. Recent evidence suggests that intervention by central planners is less efficient than that which recognizes consumer values through market forces. In fact, some have asked whether closed systems could compete technologically because they suffered from isolation (via communication) and the subsequent competition of ideas (Clarke, 1983; Malik, 1984).

What are the ingredients of an overall model at the individual level, and what are the implicit assumptions? Our model begins with two major ingredients: people's information needs and communication channels.

People's Needs for Development Information:

What are people's information needs for individual productivity? Essentially, this is a statement of how uses and gratifications relate to "productivity." As Swanson (1979) and others have noted, a variety of media content can serve the same function or use for different people. Thus, we need to separate out the "type of content" from the specific use, in this case, personal productivity.

First, how can messages or information affect one's productivity? We distinguish between the job context, the general context, and the personal context.

The job context refers to individual behaviors acted out in employment situations, generally within larger organizations. Here falls the literature on organizational communication and its relation to job performance. Both interpersonal relations, specialized media channels used in the organization, and macro organizational communication networks are relevant here. For a discussion of some of this literature and the issues pertinent to the topic here, see

Goldhaber (1986).

The general context relates to the non-organizational situation
that directly affects individual productivity. This includes ways in
which an individual is linked to the larger economic environment, the
community, and greater forces that affect one's job and personal
productivity; we must be alerted to these forces and can act by
altering one's job, mobilizing others for political action or
appealing to various organizations. For example, a variety of media
channels and interpersonal networks alert people to foreign
competition that can threaten one's employer, to the amount of
unemployment insurance that may be available if one is laid off, and
to the educational opportunities for enhancing one's job skills.

The personal context refers to changes in one's personal state
that affect productivity (e.g., health, and satisfaction with familial
and social relationships); we obtain information from a variety of
communication networks that can help satisfy personal needs that
improve productivity in job situations.

Several issues and assumptions stemming from the above scenario
need to be examined. First, we are employing a fairly rational model,
i.e., people will make conscious decisions based on their self
interest. Secondly, people know their options in given situations, or
at least can recognize them. Third, people know what's best for them
or is more likely to work best for them. Fourth, people can recognize
the relationship between their job situation and the larger system, or
react to the relationship when it is made explicit for them. Fifth,
people are motivated to be more productive because it's in their self
interest to do so. Sixth, that the media and other communication
channels supply the information to allow informed decisions among
choices. Each of these requires some elaboration.

First, some times people make decisions on the spur of the moment
which are not in their interest in the long run, at least in the
opinion of impartial observers. Witness the many smokers, over eaters
or spendthrifts who continue their habits even in the face of evidence
that their behavior patterns have negative consequences in their
lives.

Second, we need empirical evidence of the extent to which people
seek out options for increasing personal productivity or recognize
those made explicit when encountered in communication stemming from
other motivations. We would expect that this varies by one's state in
the life cycle. Thus, at crucial points in c e's life (e.g.,
graduation from high school or when fired from a job), an individual
may seek out more "option information" or be alert to that encountered
along the way.

Third, certainly people do not "always know what's best" for
increasing their productivity, but, in a democratic context, we grant
each individual that right and responsibility for decision making.
Thus, even the New York "bag lady" who recently wanted to stay on the
streets was granted her wish despite the availability of public

housing and official coercion to go there.

Fourth, people's recognition of the relationship between their
personal productivity and "larger forces" is a complex issue. At one
time, the general American public may have felt economics was a dismal
science and information about foreign steel could clearly be ignored,
but today's steelworker is likely to see the linkage more directly.
Again, we need empirical information about what linkages are seen
clearly by which people and what types of linkages are still ignored.
Since the amount of information is so extensive here, we will consider
it more fully again in the section on media content.

Fifth, people are motivated to be more productive because it's in
their self interest. Certainly, there are differences in levels of
motivation and in material needs which require financial gains to
satisfy them. Furthermore, though many people seek major satisfaction
from their job situation (which should increas' motivation to be more
productive), many others seek personal fulfillment from outside the
job (Parker and Smith, 1976; Wilson, 1980). For McClelland (1961),
the entrepreneur is driven by an inner urge to improve and is
motivated by profits as a measure of achievements rather than a source
of enrichment (Nafziger, 1986: 2).

Communication Channels & the Communication System:

Brenda Dervin (1977) provides a conceptual model of the individual
and his or her information needs. That model's four basic components
are: citizens, information needs, sources, and solutions. Dervin's
focus was on the urban environment, and she chose to focus on citizen
roles" which represent several other "urban roles" (Neighborhood
resident, voter, etc.). She notes that the urban resident faces a
formidable task in managing his or her information environment, since
the individual needs to be exposed to an overwhelming diversity of
information in order to cope with problems in even a single time span
(Dervin, 1977; 207-8). The individual needs both diverse and
selective informational inputs, the former to provide coverage of
one's concerns and the latter to prevent information overload.

Dervin sees two requirements to information management,
appropriate sources and appropriate solutions. She then looks at
barriers between individuals and sources, solutions, institutions,
etc. In summary, the individual needs accessibilty (lack of barriers)
to information along five different dimensions: societal (the
necessary information must be available in the social system);
institutional (the information sources must be both capable and
willing to deliver the needed info); physical (the individual must be
able to make physical contact with the necessary sources);
psychological (the individual must be willing to see his needs as
information needs, to approach and obtain the info from appropriate
sources and to accept the possibility that his or her needs can be
resolved); and intellectual (the individual must have the training and
ability to acquire and process the information needed).

Across the various contexts and needs, people can obtain

information enhancing their productivity through a variety of communication networks. Though we could configure the communication system in many ways, we think a useful distinction is to follow the traditional distinction between mass and interpersonal channels, with subsequent subdivisions for describing their structure and organization.

The mass communication system includes both the mass media and specialized media. The former are the subject of most research, but the latter are enormously successful and increasingly important in a complex and fragmented system. We'll discuss each of these.

The mass media in the United States serve to fulfill a variety of people's uses and gratifications (see Blumler and Katz, 1974, Blumler, 1979, Weaver and Budeenbaum, 1979 for examples of this literature). We also can state media functions for the country as a whole (see Jeffres, 1986:67, for a discussion). However, seldom if ever have researchers sought to link news values or other media content directly to such explicitly economic functions as personal productivity. Most of the literature on uses and gratifications focuses on "consumer" roles or personal gratifications not explicitly related to or translated into economic behaviors. Furthermore, focusing on news content, media rely on institutional sources in their surveillance of the economic, political and social environment (Whitney et al., 1985). Thus, we read about unemployment statistics in the state and country and watch TV reports about the stock market or a local factory shutting its doors. But we see relatively little information about how an individual can get or keep a job. This is changing somewhat as cable TV focuses on consumer segments with information tailored more closely to individual needs, but this is closer to the situation found with specialized media, particularly magazines.

Specialized media include the hundreds of commerical, targeted magazines as well as the thousands of trade publications and newsletter services which focus more closely on people's individual interests and behaviors. Specialized media do provide an abundance of information designed to improve people's productivity in job situations, from entrepreneur-oriented magazines to stock-tip newsletters. These media survive by successfully targeting their audiences, and, as one librarian noted, if there's a profitable niche, some publisher will fill it.

A recent trip to a downtown newsstand illustrates the range of magazine titles available to the general public. More than any other medium, magazines are narrowly focused and targeted at audiences with particular needs. Some general audience publications persist, such as Life and Reader's Digest. The letter also contains considerable "personal guidance" information on topics ranging from sex and health to family relations. Some of this indirectly could affect one's productivity.

Second in general focus are the news magazines, Time, Newsweek and U.S. News & World Report. All contain the usual range of public affairs topics as well as business and special sections. In its mid-

September Issue (1938), Newsweek, for example, had an article on
education--"giving parents a choice." Its business section featured a
story on the Federal Reserve, information immediately useful only to a
few business people. U.S. News has a section entitled, "News You Can
Use," which that same week included sections on travel abroad,
diabetes and musical instruments for students. Most of this is aimed
at upper SES individuals.

Among the "self improvement" magazines are representatives from
sports, which range from Runners World to Backpacker. Most of the
spectator sports magazines fit into the "hobby" or "leisure interests"
categories, e.g., pets magazines, travel (Holiday-Travel, Islands, all
aimed at the affluent), entertainment (from Billboard to Spin and
Variety), hobbies--computer magazines, photography, and crafts. Some
of these may contain information aimed at people as "producers,"
though it is scarce. For example, Outdoor & Travel Photography
magazine (September, 1988) had an article entitled, "Sell What You
Shoot," and Crafts and Country Quilts contained stories about
producing particular products that can be sold. Computer magazines
like Byte and PC World do feature "producer" information that appeals
to those trying to keep up with technology for their offices as well
as hobbyists enthralled with computers or software as leisure-time
activities.

Science magazines offer a different basis for their content than
the self-help magazines, but some of their articles are quite similar
in how they may function to improve people's productivity. Psychology
Today (September, 1988) included an article on stress at the office
(directed at white collar employees) and Popular Science featured two
sections with potentially useful "producer" information, Science
Newsfront and Electronic Newsfront; these are likely to be most useful
to hobbyists but could do more in some situations. Omni offered an
article on the "science of gambling" as well as a piece on Classroom
Earth Network--education by satellite.

Recently, several magazines have emerged that stress self-help in
some manner. For example, Lifeline America features articles on drug
and pregnancy prevention, treatment and recovery from drug addiction,
and improving relationships with others. One magazine, Relationships,
features profiles, advise lists and, reports by professionals.
Another magazine, New Age, is subtitled as a magazine for "human
potential," with articles on food, health, religion, business and
politics. In a recent issue (September, 1988) one article described
an innovative chocolate factory, a small enterprise that could serve
as a model to some entrepreneur or existing family business.
Magazines directed at women as individuals (MS, Woman, Glamour,
Essence, Savvy) or mothers (Child, Children magazines) also contain
self-help information. For example, Working Mother recently featured
this article: "Ten Ways a Baby Can Recharge Your Career." Some men's
magazines (e.g., GQ) now and then do similar things.

One group of magazines fits in a category we'd call lifestyle
because they focus on aspects of one's life as hobbies or leisure
interests. Here are home, cooking and health magazines such as Home,

House Beautiful, Gourmet, Weight Watchers, and American Health. Some
may contain information useful indirectly to people as "producers."
Country Living, for example, features articles on home building and
architecture.

Last on our list is the category that on the surface clearly
contains candidates for "development communication." These are the
business magazines that feature information relevant to "producers,"
particularly those aimed at entrepreneurs. The larger business
magazines such as Forbes, Fortune and Business Week are most likely
read in the immediate situation for "leisure entertainment" rather
than instrumental reasons to improve one's productivity. However,
some of the newer magazines in this class, do aim at those with such
motivations. For example, in September (1988) Franchise & Business
Opportunities included its 1989 annual report, "1,851 opportunities"
to start one's own business. Another magazine, Small Business,
included a list of "10 Tips for Your Start-Up," and promotes itself as
a conveyor of ideas for entrepreneurs. Business Age, which is
directed at small businesses, included a piece entitled "Improving
Personal Productivity." Some magazines aim at market segments, e.g.,
Woman's Enterprise.

And one magazine borrows its name from the symbol of productivity,
Entrepreneur. The September, 1988, issue included the following list
of enterprises: Comedy Clubs, small wineries, service industries, and
kiosks and carts. The range is from enterprises requiring a
considerable investment (wineries) to those needing relatively little
startup capital (carts). An Opportunity Mart acts as a classified
section. However, some of the advertisements hype opportunities in
bold headlines, e.g., "How To Get Rich Sooner Than You Think," and
most of the articles are "success stories" rather than balanced pieces
that alert potential entrepreneures to problems as well as
possibilities.

Clearly, most of the specialized magazines are tuned to by
consumers with uses and gratifications that parallel those for other,
more general media (to pass the time, because one likes to learn more
about topic A, because topic B is gratifying after a long day). But
some of the publications are sufficiently narrow in focus to suggest
that they attract those most motivated to increase their productivity.
Just as most people don't go to a furniture store unless they're
thinking about buying furniture, most people would not buy a magazine
on "entrepreneurs" or "small businesses" unless they had a desire to
run their own business or thought such a possiblity might exist in
their future. It may be in such situations that "development"
information is most likely to be diffused successfully.

Interpersonal communication is seldom configured as a system at
the level we are conceptualizing here (see Fisher, 1978, and Millar
and Rogers, 1976, for a conceptualization of interpersonal
communication as a system at the dyadic level). We are referring to
the face-to-face encoding-and-decoding of messages in the American
context. Clearly, it is more profitable to look at the structures
within which these communication processes take place. That leads us

to the organizational and other contexts which tell us about the
likelihood for development information to emerge.

Barriers:

The American communication system has one major feature
characteristic of all modern democracies but particularly central in
this country. That feature is "mobility" within the system. In
contrast to more traditional and centralized societies, emphasis is
placed on the individual and one's right to become involved in
communication channels. Thus, one can move in and out of
communication networks (mass or interpersonally arranged) as one's
circumstances change. Certainly, some people with more resources
(e.g., money to purchase media--as an encoder or decoder) or good
listener) will have greater opportunities, but, in all cases, the
individual has extensive mobility to operate throughout the
communication system.

This mobility of the individual also can lead to isolation,
particularly among those with the fewest resources or the most
inadequate individual communication skills. In their explanation of
the knowledge gap phenomenon, Tichenor and his colleagues (1970, 1980)
cite several explanatory factors, two of which are important here.
First, lower SES individuals have poorer information-processinc
skills, and, second, the mass media system is "structured" to the
disadvantage of lower SES people because more public affairs
information is located in the print media than in broadcast media, and
it is the latter on which lower SES individuals rely.

We believe that the Tichenor et al. premises about the mass media
system generally apply to the communication system as a whole. Simply
put, the chief barrier to maximizing the effeciency of the American
communication system is the inherent disadvantage of those who need it
most for improving their own productivity. At the extremes are the
socially isolated itenerants (bag ladies and other long-term homeless
people) who communicate with each other under bridges if they
communicate at all. Their communication and information-processing
skills are generally poorer at the outset and through isolation suffer
and atrophy to an even more inadequate level. Little communication
research has been conducted among this group. Moving up to a larger
and more significant group, we need to consider the hardcore
unemployed. Are they the least likely to read newspapers and
magazines? Despite circumstances that motivate one to seek a job or
public assistance, it is an empirical question whether the same
circumstances motivate one to use mass or specialized media or
particular interpersonal communication networks for help.

In general, we would argue that an even cursory evaluation of the mass media system supports the generalization that the mass media system operates to the greatest benefit of those with the most resources and the greatest communication skills. This is particularly true for the news media--especially print media. News values define events or situation as news if they embody elements of conflict, eminence, prominence, etc. (see Jeffres, 1986: 105-111, for a discussion of this literature). No where among the list do we find values that would generate "information" and "news" connecting the most disadvantaged with opportunities for improving their productivity. In fact, the want ads are probably the most valuable content of development communication, if we focus on newspapers. Conventions of newsgathering also are unfavorable for goals stressing development communication. For example, journalists rely on "experts" and institutional sources representing power or access to those with power (Whitney et al, 1985). Though information about unemployment or the inefficiency of a welfare department may emerge from such news-gathering patterns, little of the information will be helpful to individuals in the audience needing the most help.

The barriers we've described refer to the general mass media, which serve peoples needs as citizens, voters, and consumers but not as "producers." However, specialized media do focus on such needs, and here are magazines and newsletters. In recent years, we've seen a tremendous growth in the business press and specialized magazines. As noted above, magazines are targeted at young entrepreneurs in a variety of ways, and expensive newsletters or news services target more well-heeled audiences that use their news and information to improve productivity (or, at least, try to make money from the advice). Again, these are the media which are least likely to include the most needy in their audiences.

A second barrier is individual motivation. There are several dimensions to this. First, individuals vary in their motivation to be productive, and to seek information that would increase their productivity. Certainly, not everyone finds their greatest satisfaction or personal identification in their "job," but one's personal productivity in modern industrial societies is embodied by "the job," and an increasing percentage of people seek satisfaction in the workplace (see Wilson, 1980, Zablocki and Kanter, 1976, for more on life styles and personal satisfaction). Furthermore, people are "defined" by others in terms of their productive contributions to society. Certainly, one's role as a "producer" is not the only one, but it has increased in importance in modern societies, where the percentage of people working has grown as more and more women have entered the workplace. Thus, the significance of "having a job" and "being productive" has grown. One might argue that our communication system, particularly our mass communication subsystem, has increased its emphasis on "productivity" and economics in general. However, despite Galbraith's (1967) view that consumers in industrial society have a never-ending funnel of needs stimulated by advertising, some people are "less motivated" than others to be productive. Certainly this is a "truism" across societies and ideologies, particularly from the standpoint of officials and "authorities."

15

Given one's circumstances, individuals still differ in their motivations to seek information which would--in their view--potentially improve their productivity. McClellend's (1961) "Ach" (achievement motivation factor) may be related to such an information-seeking motivation, but we do not have empirical evidence of that. Furthermore, regardless of the motivation, how informed about the system are individuals? Thus, the unemployed stock broker knows what networks are likely to be most successful, and the employed professional knows what information services are most useful, but what does the unemployed auto worker know? What does the homemaker do who has no job experience? What does the dropout do when he or she dislikes or cannot read newspapers and refers only to the comics and sports section? We're not talking about "intelligence," though there may be differences in people's reading abilities. We're talking about current information-seeking skills, which are the consequences of past training. Furthermore, how are people trained to seek information which would increase their productivity? Interpersonal communication scholars have generated hundreds of studies on "communication competence," which focuses on people's ability to encode messages and engage in communication in interpersonal contexts. We know of no efforts which extend the concept across contexts but within functions such as "personal development/productivity."

Several additional questions are raised? How does the communication system relate to the "overall" incentive system? And does the communication system itself lay out the political, economic and other barriers to people's increased productivity?

What's Available in the Media?

Several newspapers were examined to construct an inventory of content which on the surface woudl appear to offer the greatest potential for increasing people's productivity in one of several ways. In other words, what current content best represents newspapers contributions to "development communication"? Newspapers examined included: the New York Times, a representative of the "elite" press; the Wall Street Journal, also an elite paper but one targeting audiences interested in business; the New York Post, which is targeted at a moderate and middle income audience rather than professionals; USA Today, a national paper with features different from most dailies; and the Cleveland Plain Dealer, a metropolitan daily aimed at general audiences. To these major media we added several neighborhood and community papers in the Cleveland area, including The Plain Press, an activist paper run by a community group, the Old Brooklyn News, operated by a non-profit community development corporation, and two commerical enterprises, the Slavic Village Voice and Garfield Heights Leader. Our critical scrutiny is constructive rather than an exhaustive content analysis.

Most of the newspaper content represents efforts to meet people's needs for development information in the general context, though there also are examples of content in the job and personal contexts. The first three content categories, social linkages, institutional

linkages and group linkages, represent the general context.

"Social Linkage"/Information on Social Problems--This content is strongly represented in the media, and its function as development communication is to help people form opinions on public issues that indirectly affect one's productivity. From USA Today comes a half-page article on Radon gas and where to go for help. And the New York Times' front page features the 1988 presidential election, while the metro section reports on local ambulance and housing problems. All papers reported on a fair housing bill that would prohibit discrimination against families with children; this issue is the most relevant for "development communication." In New York, the Post reported on the problem with beggars and the Times reported on problems in education, particularly among teachers who feel left out on policy decisions, and tests measuring a school's progress in the 3 R's; one is a "producer" issue and the other a long-term productivity matter. Our neighborhood newspaper provided the clearest effort of focusing on problems at a level immediately applicable to individuals. The Plain Press contained a half-page Youth Guide that listed locations, dates, and phone numbers of programs to help youth--ranging from reading clubs to camps and lunch programs. And the Leader described a program to collect food and clothing for the needy, whose "productivity" is preceded by urgent and immediate efforts to find food and shelter for the night.

"Institutional Linkage"/Information on Institutions--Though similar to information on social problems, news about institutions is somewhat different. Its origins are found in the traditional "watchdog function" of the media to scrutinize public institutions so that they're accountable to the voters and the public in general. In the short term, people not only form opinions that can lead to voting but also may take steps to change policies (contacting their public representatives, mobilizing other citizens, etc.) From the Plain Dealer, we have an example of a story reporting problems found by state watchdogs examining state HMO's. Lemert and Larkin (1979) offer a concept that is useful in analyzing the utility of the information provided for the purposes stated. They note that media are reluctant to include "mobilizing information," those items which allow people to organize, to influence, to pressure--phone numbers, names, locations, etc. In the articles we surveyed, little of this information was found in stories on either institutions or social problems, limiting its utility for development communication.

"Group Linkage"/Information on Relations Between Groups--Information about relations between groups (defined in terms of ascriptive factors--such as gender, ethnicity, or race--or in terms of achievement, occupational groups, income, or education). From the Plain Dealer, we have a labor-management dispute emodied by a story on striking United Auto Workers in Akron. The New York Times contained stories on relationships between West Germans and German ethnics migrating there from Eastern Europe, as well as an article about Hispanic emmigrants in a small Minnesota community. USA Today reported on relationships among Hispanics on its Inquiry page. A neighborhood newspaper, the Old Brooklyn News, described relationships

between a new group of Russian immigrants and community groups. And the Slavic Village Voice told of a Polish Arts Festival and other ethnic activities in the neighborhood.

The next two represent content categories that seem to best serve the general context as well as others. The first, for example, certainly fulfills information needs in the general context but also could match individuals with job situations or even personal improvement opportunities.

Advertising/Links to Jobs, Opportunities--Display and classified advertising is commercial support for the paper but it also represents a link between buyers and sellers, between traders, between someone with a need and someone else with assistance. In addition to the classified ads for job openings, we have announcements by trades people ("producers") offering their services, personnels, and real estate ads. Much of this would seem to be aimed at "consumers," but, the link also involves "producers." All of the newspapers examined contain advertising, and many classifieds involve "small" producers, but most advertising links "small" consumers with "large" producers. The New York Times included a "Teacher Openings" listing timed to coincide with the opening of school. Our neighborhood newspaper, the Plain Press, included a Community Board with specific examples and precise information for improving productivity: nurses aide training, computer skills enhancement courses, GED classes, clerical training, neighborhood activist groups, Bib Brother/Big Sister groups, a summer jobs fair, an employment program, and free job placement assistance at the United Labor Agency. In essence, the community paper presents efforts of community, human resources and other assistance groups to those who should need their services the most.

Leisure Guides & Satisfaction--Information on entertainment (TV, film, theater) is largely for personal leisure decisions. Included here are listings of events, ads, reviews, gossip, etc. The New York Times, New York Post and Cleveland Plain Dealer contain theatre and film directories. The latter also had a story on two women fighting sexism in Hollywood, a "producer" issue that also describes relationships between groups. Most entertainment information is aimed at people in their role as consumers, not producers.

The following four categories represent newspaper content which could serve development in the personal context.

Models of Experience for the "Common Man/Woman"/"Coping Scenarios"--Considerable information in the media represents what journalists call "human interest." From the Plain Dealer (Sept. 14, 1988, p. 9), we have an article on an elderly couple evicted from their house which, after investigation by reporters, had failed to claim dividend checks for years. Models come in various forms and their utility as development communication probably is greatest as lessons of experience by and for the "common" or "uncommon" person. Here we have "coping" scenarios that may help people in evaluating their own lives, by comparison or contrast. Most of the papers contained stories of a Vietnam veteran haunted by My Lai memories who

died homeless after arguing over a bottle of vodka. A housing story
in the Times told of the problems faced by the closing of a welfare
hotel, and the New York Post reported on the now destitute author of
the book, "The Graduate." The content at the point of "consumption"
is likely to be viewed more for voyeurism and entertainment than as a
"learning experience." Nevertheless, over time it may serve the
latter function.

Behavioral Guides--Institutions themselves provide behavioral
guides that may prove useful as development communication. For
example, one issue of the Post (Aug. 25, 1988, p. 13, 29) included
stories on the Catholic Church's movie guide and a story about how
parents can rent dogs to sniff out their kids' drugs. The latter
story is also an example of information on social problems.

Advice Columnists for Personal Decision-Making--Newspapers today
contain a host of columnists that target major areas of people's
lives. At the most general level are the Ann Landers and Dear Abby
columns, which advise "little" people on problems that affect people's
lives directly and their productivity at least indirectly. Among the
more specialized columnists are those focusing on: computers, pets,
medicine, senior citizens problems, youths' problems, hobbists,
leisure interests, etc. Examples include the New York Post's medical
column by Dr. Stuart Berger.

Life Sections and "Lifestyle Messages--The old "women's pages" and
society news were replaced by less gender-specific life sections in
most major metro dailies. USA Today's lifestyles section is a good
example and a recent issue contained articles on "our favorite body
parts" and the trend to physical fitness (Sept. 14, 1988, p. 1D) and
the difficulty of being a teenager today, as well as entertainment
stories. Some of these stories may provide self-help information that
indirectly relates to one's productivity (e.g., improving our health,
which affects job performance directly).

The following represents content serving people's information
needs in the job context.

Business Information/"Producer Info"--Business sections of
newspapers have grown in recent years and stories about economics have
even moved to the front page. Much of the information in the business
sections is most useful to moderately well-off individuals. At least
indirectly, the information has utility as development communication.
Stock market information may guide investments, just as personal
conversations with stock brokers may. Stories about business
decisions may act as models for one's own small enterprise in the
short run or as fodder to consider for one's own entrepreneurial
future. However, most of the business information is not directly
applicable to most people, who are not entrepreneurs but rather work
in larger organizations and institutions (whether private
business/industry or public offices/schools). Where is the
information to help these people? What types of content would be most
useful--not just in attracting attention but in actually improving
employees' productivity?

Most of the New York Times' business section appeals to
financiers, stock brokers and business management. An instructive
story on the 30th anniversary of the invention of the computer chip
was interesting as an example of creativity. The New York Post
contained stories that come closest in their appeal to the less
wealthy. One column focused on "small investors," and another story
described how new technology was helping a small clothing manufacturer
who had grown from a small store front to a large building; this
latter story also represents a "model" for other entrepreneurs.
Though much of the content in the Wall Street Journal is aimed at
consumers (e.g., an article on reduced air fares), it is also an
excellent source of news about new technologies and efforts to develop
them; in the Sept. 14th (1988) issue, a new technology by a small
Massachusetts company to make flat color TV screens and computer
monitors is a clear example of this.

We will report results of an exploratory study which involved
several survey items that examine the public's perceptions of their
own productivity.

A SURVEY ON PRODUCTIVITY

Some 344 adults were interviewed by telephone in a survey
conducted in May-June, 1988, in a large midwestrn city. The
Communication Research Center at Cleveland State University selected
residents age 18 and older through traditional random-digit dialing
techniques. The questions on productivity were included as part of a
larger survey on the quality of life.

Sources for Increasing Productivity--Respondents were asked the
following: "Regardless of whether or where we work, each of us could
probably become more productive, more efficient. What do you think
would make you more productive?" This followed a question seeking
respondents' job or occupation. The question was deliberately broad
to allow for the diverse circumstances in which respondents find
themselves. As Table 1 notes, one of the most frequent responses was
a denial of the premise that any increase was possible. Some 7.6% of
the respondents said they were already working as hard as they
could----"I'm peddling as fast as I can," "I need a rest now, not more
work," "I couldn't do any more," "I already am efficient," and other
descriptions.

Other responses were categorized along several dimensions. First,
many of the responses represented claims for personal control or
responsibility. These included: more motivation, enthusiasm, or
energy (cited by 5.2%), managing one's time better and better
scheduling (cited by 4.9%), working harder or spending more time
(4.1%), personal discipline or applying oneself better (4.1%),
changing one's attitude, and being more contented or less critical of
work or coworkers (2.6%).

Second were responses which suggested external help with
individual initiative would increase one's productivity. Leading the

list here were the 15% who cited more education or more knowledge, as
well as better job skills (more technical) and better communication
skills (cited by 2%).

Third were those who located control in the workplace and cited a
need for changes there for increased productivity. These included:
more money or incentives at work (cited by 9.6%), better leadership at
work (2.3%), more help, people, or assistants at work (2.3%), better
technology or computers at work (2%), a better defined job or a change
in the job description at work (1%), finding a better job, getting
into the job market or finding regular work (7.0%).

Others cited economic circumstances or environmental concerns
(better transportation, getting a car, learning how to drive, 1.2%),
and some said their work performance would improve if they had more
outside interests, got out and "did more" or did more "volunteer work
(4.9%).

Sources of Help & Information for Increasing Productivity--As
noted earlier, people can seek help from a variety of sources. Some
seek institutional help, some talk with friends or associates, some
consult books, and some look inside themselves for motivation. In
many cases, communication is a necessary condition. After the
question asking what would make respondents more productive, we asked
the following: "Where would you go for information or help on this?"

As Table 2 notes, many of the same items cited as means for
improving productivity appeared again. Thus, if people said they
needed more skills, they often cited education as the next step. More
education, school counselors and coursework were cited by 16% of those
surveyed. Those who cited workplace solutions often identified bosses
or managers (11%) or people they work with (1%).

Media sources and books/libraries were cited by 25 respondents
(7.2%). Other institutions were cited by a fifth of the sample,
including 16% who said they would be more productive if they went back
to school or took courses somewhere. Employment services, local
hospitals and community centers and professional associations also
were cited.

More than 10% cited people at work, including the boss, manager or
supervisor. Family, personal friends and neighbors were cited by
3.5%. Professional help (therapy, minister) was cited by 2% and
personal discipline was cited by 4.4%. Some 3.5% rejected the
question and said they could not be helped.

Relationships between Solutions & Help for Increasing
Productivity--What is the relationship between the solutions for
increased productivity and sources of help or information? There is
positive correlation between citations of personal control
(discipline, motivation) and seeking help from professionals ($r=.15$,
$p<.002$) and a negative relationship with seeking help from other
institutions ($r=-.18$, $p<.001$). As we would expect, there is a
positive relationship between citations that external help would

improve one's productivity (e.g.. more education, training) and
seeking help from other institutions (r=.61, p<.001); a negative
relationship is found with seeking help from one's place of work
(r=-.14, p<.004). And those who seek the solution to increased
productivity at work also located help in the same location
(r=.50,p<.001). Those who cited other bases for increasing their
productivity were more likely to cite the media (r=.15, p<.002) and
other people (r=.19, p<.001) as sources of information or assistance.

Relationships with Demographic Variables--Sources of productivity
also are correlated with demographic factors. Citations of personal
control is positively correlated with occupational status (r=.16,
p<.001). Seeking help externally from other institutions was
negatively associated with age (r=-.30, p<.001), and locating the
source of increased productivity in the workplace was negatively
correlated with age (r=-.21, p<.000) and positively correlated with
occupational status (r=.20, p<.000) and level of education (r=.13,
p<.01).

Both sources of productivity and where people would go for help or
information were related to communication variables. Seeking
information or help from other institutions was positively correlated
with the number of daily newspapers read (r=.11, p<.05), reading USA
Today (r=.13, p<.01), reading the Wall Street Journal (r=.10, p<.05),
and to the overall readership score (r=.11, o<.02). Seeking
information or help from the media themselves was correlated with
readership of weekly newspapers (r=.12, p<.05) and readership of a
business weekly (r=.13, p<.007). The frequency with which respondents
watch local TV news was negatively associated with seeking external
help from institutions (r=.10, p<.05) and locating the sourc of
increased productivity in the workplace (r=-.09, p<.054); TV news
viewing also was negatively correlated with seeking help or
information from other institutions (r=-.12, p<.01).

SUMMARY

The concept of "development communication" has been applied in the
Third World for more than a decade but not applied in the American
context. In this paper we examined the concept and explored
conceptual issues, then reviewed people's needs for development
information, the communication system that delivers such information
and barriers that exist. We noted that people's needs for development
information may occur in the general context, the personal context and
the job context. Several newspapers were examined to construct an
inventory of content which could fulfill development functions; this
analysis produced several categories: social linkage/information on
social problems, institutional linkage, group linkage, coping
scenarios/models of experience for the common man/woman,
advertising/links to jobs and opportunities, behavioral guides,
leisure guides and satisfaction, producer information/business
information, advice columnists for personal decision-making, and life
sections and "lifestyle messages." Most of these content categories
fulfill developmental functions in the general context.

This critical analysis was followed by the results of a survey
tapping public perceptions of productivity. Conducted in a midwestern
metropolitan area, the survey tapped people's perceptions of what
would make them more productive, where they would go for help and
information to achieve this, whether they had considered starting
their own enterprise and why. People's perceptions of what would
increase their own productivity were categorized into several
categories: personal control, where control was located within
oneself (e.g., citations of motivation, personal discipline by 28.5%);
external help with individual initiative (e.g., more education, better
job and communication skills, cited by 17.5%), external control in the
workplace, where changes in people, rewards or the situation were
cited (24%); and others (6%). Where people would go for help or
information to become more productive included: other institutions
(e.g., education, 20%); the workplace (e.g., one's boss or coworkers,
10%); media (mass media, books and libraries, 7%); other people (e.g.,
family, friends and neighbors, 3.5%); professional help (therapy and
ministers, 2%); personal discipline (4.4%) and rejection of any
potential increase (3.5%). Bivariate relationships with demographic
factors and mass communication variables also were discussed.

REFERENCES

Abramovitz, Moses. "Resources and Output Trends in the United States since 1870," American Economic Review (May, 1965) 44: 5-23.

Backman, Jules, "Entrepreneurship: An Overview," Entrepreneurship and the Outlook for America, ed. Jules Backman. New York: The Free Press, 1983, pp. 1-24.

Bendix, R. Work and Authority in Industry: Ideologies of Management in the Course of Change. New York: Wiley, 1956.

Bendix, Reinhard. "Tradition and Modernity Reconsidered," Comparative Studies in Science and History (1966) 9: 292-346.

Blumler, Jay G. "The Role of Theory in Uses and Gratifications Studies," Communication Research (January, 1979) 6(1): 9-36.

Blumler, Jay G. and Elihu Katz (eds.) The Uses of Mass Communications: Current Perspectives on Gratifications Research. Vol. 3, Sage Annual Reviews of Communication Research. Beverly Hills: Sage Publications, 1974.

Brozen, Y. "Determinants of Entrepreneurial Ability," Social Research (1954) 21: 339-364.

Bruton, H. J. "Contemporary Theorizing on Economic Growth," Theories of Economic Growth, ed. B. F. Hoselitz, J. J. Spengler, J. M. Letiche, E. McKinley, J. Buttrick, and H. J. Bruton. Glencoe, Ill: The Free Press, 1960.

Clarke, Arthur C. "Beyond the Global Village," Chronicle of International Communication (June, 1983) 4(5): 4.

Cochran, T. C. "Role and Sanction in American Entrepreneurial History," Change and the Entrepreneur. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University, 1949.

Cochran, Thomas C. The Inner Revolution: Essays on the Social Sciences in History New York: Harper & Row, 1964.

Cole, A. H. Business Enterprise in its Social Setting. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University, 1959.

Collins, Orvis F., David G. Moore and Darab B. Unwalla. The Enterprising Man. East Lansing: MSU Business Studies, 1964.

DeFleur, Melvin L. and Sandra Ball-Rokeach. Theories of Mass Communication. New York: Longman, 1982, 4th ed.

Deleyne, Jan. The Chinese Economy. New York: Harper & Row, 1971.

Denison, Edward R. 'The Sources of Economic Growth in the United States and the Alternatives before Us," Supplementary Paper No. 13, New York: Committee for Economic Development, 1962; cited in Nafziger, 1986.

Dervin, Brenda, "A Conceptual Perspective on the Information Needs of Urban Residents," Urban Communication, ed. William E. Arnold and Jerry L. Buley. Cambridge, Mass: Winthrop Publishers, 1977, pp. 206-231.

Deutsch, Karl W. and Richard L. Merritt. Nationalism and National Developement. Cambridge, Mass.: The MIT Press, 1970.

Dizard, Wilson P. Jr. The Coming Information Age. New York: Longman, 2nd ed., 1985.

Dobb, Maurice. Capitalist Enterprise and Social Progress. London: George Routledge & Sons, 1926.

Fejes, Fred. "The Growth of Multinational Advertising Agencies in Latin America," Journal of Communication. (Autumn, 1980) 30(4): 36-49.

Freeman, Katherine B. "The Significance of McCelland's Achievement Variable in the Aggregate Production Function,' Economic Development and Cultural Change (July, 1976) 24(4): 815-824.

Frey, Frederick W. "Communication and Development," Handbook of Commu ication, ed. Ithiel de Sola Pool, Wilbur Schramm and others. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1973, pp. 337-461.

Galbraith, John K. The New Industrial State. Boston: Houghton Mifflin, 1967.

Glade, W. P. "Approaches to a Theory of Entrepreneurial Formation," Explorations in Entrepreneurial History (1967) Series, 2, 4, 245-259.

Goldhaber, Gerald M. Organizational Communication. Dubuque, Iowa: William C. Brown, Publishers, 1986, 4th ed.

Greenfield, Sidney M. and Arnold Strickon (ed.) Entrepreneurship and Social Change. Lanham: University Press of America, 1986.

Greenfield, Sidney M., Arnold Strickon, Robert T. Aubey and Morton Rothstein, "Studies in Entrepreneurial Behavior: A Review and an Introduction," Entrepreneurs in Cultural Context, ed. Sidney M. Greenfield, Arnold Strickon and Robert T. Aubey. Albuquerque: University of New Mexico Press, 1979, pp. 3-18.

Hachten, William A. The World News Prism. Ames: Iowa State University Press, 1981.

Hagen, Everett E. On The Theory of Social Change. Homewood, Ill.: The Dorsey Press, 1962a.

Hagen, Everett E. "A Framework for Analyzing Economic and Political Change," Development of the Emerging Countries, ed. Robert E. Asher et al. Washington, D.C.: Brookings Institution, 1962b.

Hagen, Everett E. The Economics of Development. Homewood, Ill.: Dorsey, 1968.

Hebert, Robert F. and Albert N. Link. The Entrepreneur: Mainstream Views and Radical Critiques. New York: Praeger, 1982.

Hornik, Robert C. Development Communication: Information, Agriculture, and Nutrition in the Third World. New York: Longman, 1988.

Jamias, Juan F. "The Philosophy of Development Communication," Readings in Development Communication. Department of Development Communication, University of the Philippines, Los Banos, College, Laguna, Republic of the Philippines, 1975, pp. 13-24.

Jeffres, Leo W. Mass Media Processes and Effects. Prospect Heights, Ill.: Waveland Press, 1986.

Kazin, Margaret, "The Role of the Small Entrepreneur," Economic Transition in Africa, ed. Melville J. Herskovits and Mitchell Harwitz. Evanston: Northwestern University Press, 1964.

Kendrick, John W. "Entrepreneurship, Productivity and Economic Growth," Entrepreneurship and the Outlook for America, ed. Jules Backman, New York: The Free Press, 1983, pp. 111-148.

Kilby, P. "Hunting the Heffalump," Entrepreneurship and Economic Development, ed. P. Kilby, New York: The Free Press, 1971.

Kirzner, Israel M. Discovery and the Capitalist Process. Chicago: The University of Chicago Press, 1985.

Kuhn, Robert Lawrence, "The Entrepreneurial Elements: Fire in the Belly and Brains in the Head," Corporate Creativity, ed. Raymond W. Smilor and Robert Lawrence Kuhn. New York: Praeger, 1983, pp. 3-21.

Leibenstein, Harvey, "Entrepreneurship and Development," American Economic Review (May, 1968) 58: 72-83.

Lemert, James B. and Jerome P. Larkin, "Some Reasons Why Mobilizing Information Fails to be in Letters to the Editor," Journalism Quarterly (Autumn, 1979) 56(3): 504-512.

Lerner, Daniel. "Modernization Revisited--An Interview with Daniel Lerner," Communications and Development Review (1977) 1(2/3): 4-6.

Lerner, Daniel. The Passing of Traditional Society. New York: Free Press, 1958.

Long, Norman, "Multiple Enterprise in the Central Highlands of Peru," Entrepreneurs in Cultural Context, ed. Greenfield, Strickon and Aubey, 1979, pp. 123-158.

Malik, R. "Communism vs. the Computer: Can the Soviet Union Survive Information Technology?" InterMedia (May, 1984) 12(3): 10-23.

Marris, P. The Social Barriers to African Entrepreneurship. Institute of Development Studies, University of Sussex, England. Mimeo Series No. 22, January, 1969; cited in Wilken, 1979.

Marris, P. and A. Somerset. The African Entrepreneur: A Study of Entrepreneurship and Development in Kenya. New York: Africana, 1971.

Maslow, A. H. Motivation and Personality, New York: Harper & Row, 1970, 2nd ed.

Massell, Benton F. "Capital Formation and Technological Change in the United States Manufacturing," Review of Economics and Statistics (May, 1960) 42: 182-188.

McClelland, D. C. The Achieving Society. New York: The Free Press, 1961.

McClelland, D. C. "The Achievement Motive in Economic Growth," Industrialization and Society, ed. B. F. Hoselitz and W. E. Moore. Paris: UNESCO, 1963.

McClelland, D. C. "Need Achievement and Entrepreneurship: A Longitudinal Study," Journal of Personality and Social Psychology (1965).

Nafziger, E. Wayne. Entrepreneurship, Equity, and Economic Development. Greenwich, Conn.: JAI Press, Inc., 1986.

Parker, Edwin B. "An Information Based Hypothesis: New Approaches to Development," Journal of Communication (1978) 28(1): 81-83.

Parker, S. and M. Smith. "Work and Leisure," Handbook of Workbook Organization and Society, ed. R. Dubin. Chicago: Rand McNally, 1976, pp. 37-64.

Parson, Talcott. Structure and Process in Modern Society. New York: The Free Press, 1960.

Parson, Talcott and N. J. Smelser. Economy and Society. New York: Free Press, 1956.

Prasad, S. B. and A. R. Negandhi. _Managerialsim for Economic Development: Essays on India._ The Hague: Martinus Nijhoff, 1968.

Pye, Lucian W. _Aspects of Political Development._ Boston: Little, Brown, 1966.

Quebral, Nora C. "Development Communication: Where Does It Stand Today?" _Media Asia_ (1975) 2(4): 197-202.

Rogers, Everett M. _Diffusion of Innovations._ New York: Free Press, 1983, 3rd ed.

Rogers, Everett M. "Communication and Development: The Passing of the Dominant Paradigm," _Communication Research_ (1976) 3:213-240.

Rostow, Walt W. _The Stages of Economic Growth._ London: Cambridge University Press, 1960.

Rostow, Walt W. _The World Economy._ Austin: University of Texas Press, 1978.

Sayigh, Yusif A. _Entrepreneurs of Lebanon: The Role of the Business Leader in a Developing Economy._ Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 1962.

Schramm, Wilbur, _Mass Media and National Development: The Role of Information on Developing Countries._ Standord: Stanford University Press, 1964.

Schramm, Wilbur and Daniel Lerner (ed.) _Communication and Change: The Last Ten Years--and the Next._ Honolulu: The University Press of Hawaii: The East-West Center Book, 1976.

Schumpeter, Joseph A. _The Theory of Economic Development._ Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press, 1949.

Shapiro, Moses, "The Entrepreneurial Individual in the Large Organization," _Entrepreneurship and the Outlook for America,_ ed. Jules Backman, New York: The Free Press, 1983, pp. 55-80.

Smilor, Raymond W. and Robert Lawrence Kuhn (eds.) _Corporate Creativity: Robust Companies and the Entrepreneurial Spirit._ New York: Praeger, 1983.

Solow, Robert, "Technical Change and the Aggregate Production Function," _Review of Economics and Statistics_ (August, 1957) 39: 312-320.

Stepanek, J. E. _Managers for Small Industry: An International Study._ Glencoe, Ill.: The Free Press, 1960.

Swanson, David L. (ed.) "The Uses and Gratifications Approach to Mass Communications Research," _Communication Research_ (January, 1979) 6(1).

Tekiner, Ahmet C. "Need Achievement and International Differences in Income Growth: 1950-1960," Development and Cultural Change (1980) 28: 293-320.

Tichenor, Phillip J., George A. Donohue and Clarice N. Olien, "Mass Media Flow and Differential Growth of Knowledge," Public Opinion Quarterly (1970) 34(2): 159-170.

Tichenor, Phillip J., George A. Donohue and Clarice N. Olien. Community Conflict & the Press. Beverly Hills, Calif.: Sage Publications, 1980.

Todaro, Michael P. Economic Development in the Third World, 3rd ed., New York: Longman, 1981.

Troy, George F. "The Intrapreneurial Spirit: Taking Risks at Control Data," Corporate Creativity, ed. Raymond W. Smilor and Robert Lawrence Kuhn. New York: Praeger, 1983, pp. 81-89.

Weber, M. The Protestant Ethic and the Spirit of Capitalism. New York: Scribner's, 1958. Talcott Parsons, translator. Originally published, 1930.

Weaver, David H. and J.M. Budeenbaum, "Newspapers and Television: A Review of Research on Uses and Effects," ANPA News Research Report (April 20, 1979) No. 19, Washington, D.C.: ANPA.

Welsh, John A. " Entrepreneurial Characteristics: The Driving Force," Corporate Creativity, ed. Raymond W. Smilor and Robert Lawrence Kuhn. New York: Praeger, 1983, pp. 53-63.

Whitney, D. Charles, Marilyn Fritzler, Steven Jones, Sharon Mazzarella and Lana Rakow, "Geographic and Source Biases in Network Television News, 1982-1984," paper presented at the annual convention of the Association for Education in Journalism and Mass Communication, Memphis, Tenn., August, 1985.

Wilken, Paul H. Entrepreneurship: A Comparative and Historical Study. Norwood, N.J.: Ablex Publishing Corp., 1979.

Wilson, John, "Sociology of Leisure," Annual Review of Sociology (1980) 6: 21-40.

Winham, Gilbert R. "Political Development and Lerner's Theory: Further Tests of a Causal Model," American Political Science Review (1970) 64: 810-818.

Young, F. V. "A Macrosociological Interpretation of Entrepreneurship," Entrepreneurship and Economic Development, ed. P. Kilby. New York: The Free Press, 1971.

TABLE 1

PERSONAL PRODUCTIVITY

What Would Make You More Productive?

Personal Control:
I am productive, at maximum now........... 26 (7.6%)
Motivation, enthusiasm, more energy...... 18 (5.2%)
Managing my time better, better
    scheduling, stop scattering efforts... 17 (4.9%)
Working harder, spending more time....... 14 (4.1%)
Personal discipline, applying myself..... 14 (4.1%)
Changing attitude, being more contented
    and less critical of work, coworkers.. 9 (2.6%)

External Help with Individual Initiative:
More education, more knowledge........... 52 (15.1%)
Better job skills (more technical)....... 5 (1.5%)
Better communication skills.............. 3 (.9%)

External Control in Workplace:
More money, incentives at work........... 33 (9.6%)
Better leadership at work................ 8 (2.3%)
More help, people, assistants at work... 8 (2.3%)
Technology, better computer at work...... 6 (1.7%)
Better defined or change in job
    description at work.................... 3 (.9%)
Finding a better job, getting into job
    market, regular work................... 24 (7.0%)

Other:
Better transportation, get car, learn
    how to drive........................... 4 (1.2%)
Do more volunteer work, get out and do
    more, more outside interests........... 17 (4.9%)

TABLE 2

WHERE PEOPLE WOULD GO FOR HELP/INFORMATION

Where you would go for information
or help to become more productive:

Media:
    Mass Media.................................. 7 (2.0%)
    Libraries, books........................... 18 (5.2%)

Other Institutions:
    More education, college, school
      counselors, take courses............... 56 (16.3%)
    Employment services, apply for jobs....... 6 (1.7%)
    Local hospital, rec. center, sr. center.., 6 (1.7%)
    Professional associations.................. 4 (1.2%)

Work/Job:
    See boss, manager, supervisor,
      administrator.......................... 37 (10.8%)
    People work with........................... 3 (.9%)

Other People:
    Family members, parents.................... 6 (1.7%)
    Friends.................................... 5 (1.5%)
    Neighbors.................................. 1 (.3%)

Professional Help:
    Therapy.................................... 2 (.6%)
    Minister, pastor, church groups........... 4 (1.2%)

Other:
    Discipline, put own mind to it............ 15 (4.4%)
    Mail, brochures............................ 1 (.3%)
    Can't be helped, rejects question........ 12 (3.5%)